





PAN Test Prenatal Aneuploidy NGS Test

1 in every 150 live births

has a chromosomal abnormality that can result in an abnormal phenotype in fetus or newborns¹

Emerging genetic technologies such as next-generation sequencing (NGS) can offer testing options to clinicians and families seeking a definitive diagnosis.





Why NGS Trumps QFPCR in Prenatal Diagnostic Testing

Research has shown that next-generation sequencing-based testing may help identify genomic abnormalities in 20-30% of fetuses for which standard testing options show normal results.

NGS, thus, helps in



Detecting copy number variations in all 22 pairs of chromosomes



Initiating quick intervention or response in at-risk mothers



Identifying chromosomal aneuploidies in sex chromosomes



Providing the best assistance before childbirth or the right therapeutic approach before or immediately after the birth of the baby



In fact, both the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the Society of Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) have stated that prenatal genetic screening and invasive testing by chorionic villi sampling (CVS) or amniocentesis should be offered in case of fetuses with structural abnormalities and other high-risk pregnancies².



Introducing

PAN Test

Prenatal Aneuploidy NGS Test

A complete and sophisticated test for in-depth analysis of fetal DNA and health during pregnancy



What Is PAN Test?

Utilizing extensive clinical experience in NGS and molecular genetics, the Neuberg Center for Genomic Medicine (NCGM) has introduced PAN Test, a revolutionary prenatal next-generation sequencing-based test.

A successfully validated diagnostic test, the PAN test primarily involves four steps.

Sample Collection (amniocentesis or chorionic villus sampling)

2

Wet-lab
Assays

(DNA extraction, library preparation and sequencing) 3

Bioinformatic Analysis

(Alignment, normalization, and aneuploidy detection 4

Reporting



What to Expect from the PAN Test?

What It Covers

Trisomies and monosomies in all 23 pairs of chromosome

Microdeletions and duplications (up to 2 Mb) in all 22 pairs of chromosomes. The most common being

Wolf Hirschhorn syndrome (4p16.3) Di-George Syndrome (22q11.2 deletion) Prader-Willi (15q11.2) William Syndrome (7q11.23 deletion)

What It Does Not Cover

Balanced translocations Single-gene disorders or mutations Differentiation between mosaic or true gain/losses Partial mosaicism

Could not detect other microdeletions/duplications in sex chromosomes

Turnaround time

Results within 5 to 7 working days



Based on our validations results and the given comparable turnaround time, higher throughput, a significant reduction in the technical repeat rate, and the amount of DNA required for the assay, NGS-based prenatal test provides compelling evidence as an alternative to QFPCR.



When to Recommend the PAN Test?







An abnormal prenatal









Why Clinicians and Parents Should Consider the PAN Test?



Unlike QF-PCR, NGS detects aneuploidies in all chromosomes and provides in-depth information



Detects additional copy number variations (up to 2 MB gain/losses) in all 22 pairs of chromosomes, in addition to trisomies



Eliminates the need for cell culture, thus, reducing the failure rate



Offers pre- and post-test support



Ensures quick turnaround



Affordable, comprehensive, and a validated diagnostic test

Experience. Competency. Accuracy

Choose the PAN Test for definitive prenatal diagnosis





To know more or book the test,

Neuberg Center for Genomic Medicine (NCGM) | NCGM, Inc.(a Neuberg Diagnostics Company) 9760 Holly Springs Rd, Apex, NC 27539 | Email: info@ncgmglobal.com | CLIA No. 34D2205781

References:

Grossman TB, Chasen ST. Abortion for fetal genetic abnormalities: type of abnormality and gestational age at diagnosis. American Journal of Perinatology Reports. 2020 Jan;10(01):e87-92

Microarrays and next-generation sequencing technology: the use of advanced genetic diagnostic tools in obstetrics and gynecology. Committee Opinion No. 682. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Obstet Gynecol 2016;128:e262–8